

LABORATOIRE MIT MATÉRIAUX POUR INFRASTRUCTURES DE TRANSPORT

High Performance Concrete Carpet

Thierry Sedran 24/01/2020

- Is it worth using high or ultra high performance concrete (HPC or UHPC) in traditional concrete pavement structure?
- A simplified approach to compare different materials accounting for the fatigue resistance of the materials
 - Concept of equivalent quality index
 - Used in the French Pavement Design Method to classify the materials



- EQI Equivalent Quality Index
 - thickness allowing 10⁶ cycles in a simplified case of structure
 - accounts for E and R_{tb}
- Calculations assumptions
 - Poisson coefficient v=0,25
 - σ_6 / R_{tb} =1





- Calculations of EQI for concrete with increasing strength
 - Mixes simulated with BetonlabPro software from 30 to 130 MPa
 - From 30 à 50 MPa: CEM II 42,5 (25% limestone) slump=3 cm, Dmax=20 mm, air=4%
 - At 60 MPa:CEM I 52,5 slump=18, Dmax=12,5 mm
 - Higher than 80 MPa CEM I 52,5 + 10% SF, slump=18 cm, Dmax=12,5 mm
- EQI x cement content of the mix → calculation of clinker content in the structure



• For the same fatigue based performance of the pavement structure the clinker need per meter of road increases with the strength of the concrete









- In traditional pavement structures, HPC and UHPC may be of limited interest considering:
 - this increasing clinker demand per length of pavement
 - the sharper quality control needed to ensure a good placement as higher viscosity and/or thixotropy are expected with these concrete
 - the higher risk of plastic shrinkage at early age
- For fast track repair, high early age strength of HPC/UHPC may justify their use



- → It is then necessary to develop new long lasting concepts to benefit the high quality of HPC/UHPC such as high durability, high resistance to wearing
- In that context, University G. Eiffel (ex LCPC) has participated to the development of the High Performance Concrete Carpet (HPC)
 - under the direction of F. de Larrard (at LCPC and then Lafarge Holcim)
 - since the last 90'











The concept: main ideas

- Separate the role of each layer and have a high quality long lasting wearing course → concentrate the high-value materials in an upper thin layer with:
 - High wearing resistance
 - High durability
 - Low rolling noise (exposed aggregate with D_{max} ≤ 10 mm, or brushed surface)
 - → The thickness is not designed by the fatigue behavior but by the limitation of corrosion of wire mesh (cover > 20 mm in presence of deicing salt)
- Avoiding the reflective cracking from the bottom layers → slipping conditions



The concept : main ideas

- Accept thin cracking pattern controlled in both directions by welded wire mesh:
 - \rightarrow good water tightness expected \rightarrow protection of the foundation
 - ➔ increased flexibility of the wearing course which would adapt itself without degradation, like a coat of mail
 - → could be used for new pavements as well as for repairs of rigid or semi-rigid old pavements





The concept : main ideas

- Avoiding the risk of buckling by hot weather:
 - a minimal level of shrinkage is specified to add some tension in the layer and counteract the effect of thermal expansion
 - the wearing layer must be anchored by transverse beams inserted in the foundation (end day joints)
- Expected savings of materials compared to CRCP:
 - Cement: -34%
 - Steel: -35%
 - High quality aggregates for wearing course: -71%



A long way to the final solution

- 10 m experimental slab for fatigue tests in **2001-2002** at LCPC
- 100 m site test (Villeurbanne) in 2003 in the entrance of a concrete plant: up to now, good behavior (fine and distributed cracks), but slow traffic
- 300 m site test on A26 highway (SANEF) in 2007 but technical problems with slip-form incorrect flow of the concrete through the welded wire mesh → presence of voids under the layer → failure after the first days → rapid deconstruction







- A 200 m section near Auxerre
- On an access road between two national roads
- Experimental construction followed by:
 - Owner: Dir CE (Road inter departmental agency)
 - Companies: Agilis and Lafarge
 - Public research intitutes: CEREMA, Univ. Eiffel (ex Ifsttar)
- Construction in june 2015















Casting of edge beams











- In october 2022 (7 years after construction)
 - Approx. 325 trucks per day
 - Good global mechanical behavior (fine and distributed cracks)
 - Skid resistance of the brushed surface: decreasing with time and at the limit of acceptable values, but can be tackled
 - Same noise as the bituminous layer connected to the section







Conclusion

- Promissing concept
- Some key points:
 - HPC with a low slump (around 5 cm) to ensure evenness
 - The workability must be very well controlled and adapted to the slipform speed → use of high amount of retarder
 - No long stops between two edge beams
 - High quality of mesh laying to ensure concrete cover → use of mesh panels
- And now:
 - Monitoring will continue (next in 2024)
 - New operational site is seeked



References

- de Larrard F., "La moquette en BHP Un nouveau concept de couche de roulement" (The HPC carpet – A new concept of wearing course), Technical Note, Bulletin des Laboratoires des Ponts et Chaussées, No. 223, pp. 105-110, September-October, 1999 (in French).
- de Larrard F., « The High-Performance Concrete Carpet A New Type of Concrete Course Layer», 9th International Conference on Concrete Roads, Istanbul, April, 2004.
- de Larrard F., « High-Performance Concrete Carpet : a hydraulic flexible wearing course. Part I : design », Scientific note, International Journal of Road Materials and Pavement Design, Vol. 6, Issue 4, pp. 533-548, 2005.
- de Larrard F., Kerzreho J.-P., Potier J.-M., Baroin L., Abdo J., « High-Performance Concrete Carpet : a hydraulic flexible wearing course. Part II : full-scale testing », Scientific note, International Journal of Road Materials and Pavement Design, Vol. 6, Issue 4, pp. 549-564, 2005.
- de Larrard F., Roussel N., « Flow simulation of fresh concrete under a slipform machine. How a basic approach may help to, solve a practical problem », International Journal of Road Materials and Pavement Design, Vol. 12, Issue 3, pp. 547-566, 2011





